UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/085,581 | 02/26/2002 | Yu-Cheun Jou | 020278 | 8984 |
| | 7590 04/21/201 INCORPORATED | 1 | EXAMINER | |
| 5775 MOREHO | OUSE DR. | DADA, BEEMNET W | | |
| SAN DIEGO, CA 92121 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2435 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/21/2011 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/085,581 | JOU ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | BEEMNET W. DADA | 2435 | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee | | | | | | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | | |
| a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | within the time period set forth in 3 | 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | | | ecause | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | w); | • | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>examiner would point out new claim langu</u> the deletion of 'scrambling information bits', 'a sequ language "use" and " processor to:' changes the | uence generator for determining' ar | nd the addition of an i | ntended use | | | | |
| 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | 21. Coo ottoobod Notice of New Co | monliant Amanadan ant | (DTOL 204) | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | impliant Amendment | (FTOL-324). | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. | | II be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,3-6,8-10,20,22-25,27-29,41 and 42. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>39 and 40</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | /Beemnet W Dada/ | | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2435 | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 20110413